

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/827,173 04/06/2001		Donald B. Harris	068540-0102	4371	
22428 75	590 05/14/2004		EXAMINER		
FOLEY AND	LARDNER		FISCHMANN	, BRYAN R	
SUITE 500 3000 K STREE	TNW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			. 3618		
			DATE MAIL ED. 06/14/200	DATE MAIL ED: 05/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No	Applicant(s)		
• Office Action Summary		09/827,17		HARRIS ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
	-	Bryan Fisc	chmann	3618		
•	The MAILING DATE of this communicatio			1 1/ /		
Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory interest or eply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no eve on. , a reply within the statu period will apply and will statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	22 March 2004.				
-		This action is no	on-final.			
3)	, <del></del>					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5) [ 6) [ 7) [	Claim(s) 1-3,6-9 and 12-20 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-3, 6-9 and 12-20 are subject to	thdrawn from cor	nsideration.	nt.		
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachmen			_			
2) Notice (3) Information	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date	•	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 3618

## **Acknowledgments**

1. The Amendment filed 8-20-2003 (paper 20) has been entered on the basis of the Request for Continued Examination as noted below.

## Request for Continued Examination

2. The request filed on 3-22-2004 (paper 27) for a Request for Continuing Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR1.114 based on parent Application No. 09/827,173 is acceptable and an RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

#### Election/Restriction

3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Category A – Electrical Power Generator for Power Source:

Species I – Internal Combustion Engine and Generator (Figure 3 and 4)

Subspecies I – Spark Ignition Engine (claim 6)

Subspecies II – Compression Ignition Engine (claim 7)

Subspecies III – Rotary Engine (claim 8)

Subspecies IIII – Reciprocating Engine (claim 9)

Species II – Fuel Cell for Generating Electrical Power (Figures 1 and 2)

Species III – External Combustion Engine and Generator (Figures 3 and 4 and claims 16 and 17)

Category B – Interface Module to Power Module Connection

Art Unit: 3618

Species I – Umbilical Connection (Figures 2 or 4)

Species II – Wireless Connection (not shown – see page 6 of specification and claim 20)

Note that Figure 5 is generic

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for each category for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 12 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species, and subspecies, if applicable, that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Art Unit: 3618

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. A telephone call was made to Andrew F. Knight on 05-11-2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryan Fischmann whose telephone number is (703)306-5955. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Johnson can be reached on (703)308-0885. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3618

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

#### Examiner's Comment

6. The Examiner regrets any frustration or delay the election requirement made at this stage of prosecution causes Applicant. However, the amendments to the claims made in the last amendment (paper 20) appears to have overcome the prior art rejections made on the last Office Action (paper 17). Due to this, a further search will be required to determine patentability of the amended claims. Due to the numerous species being claimed as set forth above, the Examiner felt a species election was necessary, in order to be able focus in detail on the patentability of a single species, as opposed to simultaneously attempting to determine the patentability of several species.

BRYAN FISCHMANN PRIMARY EXAMINER